



**Assignment #1 - CPCS 222 (Winter 2022-23)**  
**(Boy's campus)**

**Due: Sunday (08/01/2023)**

Student Name : Meshal Ali Okairy Student ID: 2237836

Section: F1

1. Construct a truth table for  $(a \rightarrow \neg b) \wedge (b \oplus \neg c)$

a	b	c	$\neg b$	$a \rightarrow \neg b$	$\neg c$	$b \oplus \neg c$	Result
T	T	T	F	F	F	T	F
T	T	F	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

2. Translate the English statement into propositional logic - "If I get paid today, I will not go to Spain and London."

<p>p: I get paid today. q: I will go to Spain. r: I will go to London.</p>	$p \rightarrow \neg(q \wedge r)$
--	----------------------------------

3. Negate the English statement given in question 2

$\neg [p \rightarrow \neg(q \wedge r)] \equiv [p \wedge (q \wedge r)]$ <p>I get paid today, And I will go to Spain And London.</p>
--

4. Write the converse, inverse and contrapositive of the propositional logic obtained from question 2.

Contrapositive (English and logic)	If I will go to Spain And London, then I did not get paid today.
	$\neg \neg(q \wedge r) \rightarrow \neg p \equiv (q \wedge r) \rightarrow \neg p$
Inverse (English and logic)	If I did not get paid today, then I will go to Spain And London.
	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg \neg(q \wedge r) \equiv \neg p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$
Converse (English and logic)	If I will not go to Spain And London, then I get paid today
	$\neg(q \wedge r) \rightarrow p$



5. Show that  $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) \equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$  using truth tables

<b>p</b>	<b>q</b>	<b><math>\neg p</math></b>	<b><math>\neg q</math></b>	<b><math>\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))</math></b>	<b><math>(\neg p \wedge \neg q)</math></b>
T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

6. For Questions 6a and 6b, translate the English statements into predicate logic where the domain of students is all students in FCIT

**Hint:** Use  $S(x)$  - x is a student in FCIT and  $J(x)$  - x lives in Jeddah

6a: "Some students in FCIT lives in Jeddah"

$\exists x J(x) \text{ and } \exists x(S(x) \wedge J(x))$
---

6b: "All students in FCIT lives in Jeddah"

$\forall x J(x) \text{ and } \forall x(S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$
--

7. Show that  $[\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow \neg p$  is a tautology

<b>Expression</b>	<b>Rule</b>
$[\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow \neg p$	Implication Law.
$[\neg q \wedge (\neg p \vee q)] \rightarrow \neg p$	Distributive Law.
$[(\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee (\neg q \wedge q)] \rightarrow \neg p$	Negation Law.
$[(\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee (\text{False})] \rightarrow \neg p$	Identity Law.
$(\neg q \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow \neg p$	Implication Law.
$\neg (\neg q \wedge \neg p) \vee \neg p$	De Morgan Law.
$(\neg \neg q \vee \neg \neg p) \vee \neg p$	Double Negation Law.
$(q \vee p) \vee \neg p$	Associative Law.
$q \vee (p \vee \neg p)$	Negation Law.
$q \vee \text{True}$	Domination Law.
<b>True</b>	



8. Given below are arguments and a rule of inference. Write the correct rule in each case.

“If I go swimming, then I will stay in the sun too long. If I stay in the sun too long, then I will sunburn. Therefore, if I go swimming, then I will sunburn.”

<p>p: I go swimming. q: I will stay in the sun too long. r: I will sunburn.</p>	$\frac{p \rightarrow q}{\frac{q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r}}$ <p>Hypothetical Syllogism rule.</p>
---	--

9. Each row in the following table contains independent premises. Show what can be concluded, if it is possible, and give the name of the rule in each.

1.	$\frac{\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))}{\therefore P(a) \rightarrow Q(a)}$	Universal Instantiation
2.	$\frac{P(a) \wedge \neg R(a)}{\therefore \exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg R(x))}$	Existential Generalization
3.	$\frac{p \rightarrow s}{s}$ $\therefore \text{not possible}$	not possible
4.	$\frac{p \rightarrow s}{\neg p}$ $\therefore \text{not possible}$	not possible
5.	$\frac{\neg p \rightarrow s}{\neg p}$ $\therefore S$	Modus Ponens



10. If  $m$  is an odd number and  $n$  is an even number, prove that the expression  $m^2 + mn - 1$  is even.

$p$ :  $m$  is an odd number and  $n$  is an even number.

$q$ :  $m^2 + mn - 1$  is even.

Direct Proof: Assume that  $p$  is true. Use rules of inference, axioms, and logical equivalences to show that  $q$  must also be true.

Assume that  $m$  is odd. Then  $n = 2k + 1$  for an integer  $k$ .

Assume that  $n$  is even. Then  $n = 2k$  for an integer  $k$ .

$m^2 + mn - 1 = (2k + 1)^2 + (2k + 1) \times (2k) - 1 = (4k^2 + 4k + 1^2) + (4k^2 + 2k) - 1 = 8k^2 + 6k + 1 - 1 = 8k^2 + 6k = 2(4k^2 + 3k) = 2r$ , where  $r = (4k^2 + 3k)$ , an integer.

We have proved that If  $m$  is an odd number and  $n$  is an even number, then  $m^2 + mn - 1$  is even.

- QED.